UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,695	08/03/2006	Akio Nakamura	20855/0205125-US0	4961
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 05/28/200 RBY P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770	- •	SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G		
Church Street S New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/597,695	NAKAMURA, AKIO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phyllis G. Spivack	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 A	uaust 2006.					
•	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-3-06</u> .	5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	atent Application				

Applicant's Preliminary Amendment filed August 3, 2006 is acknowledged.

Updated priority data are noted.

Claims 1-3 are presented. Claim 1 is a compound claim. Claims 2 and 3 are composition claims. There are no method claims.

An Information Disclosure Statement filed August 3, 2006 is further acknowledged. The references have been considered to the extent each is presented in the English language.

A complete list of co-pending and related applications is requested when Applicant responds to this Office Action.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sztaricskai et al., <u>Arch. Pharm.</u> and <u>Medicinal Chemistry</u>.

Sztaricskai teaches O-β-D-glucopyranosides of 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA). See Compound 28, 5-amino-2-(β-D-galactopyranosyloxy) methyl benzoate, at the top of page 323. The depicted compound encompasses both α and β forms. Preparation of the methyl ester compound is disclosed at the bottom of the first column on page 325. When compared to 5-ASA, the glycoside, i.e., compound 28, is shown to be more stable, exhibiting one hundred times the solubility of the parent compound. Further, compound 28 was found to be one and a half times less toxic than 5-ASA. The claims

differ in that the acid form of the compound is claimed, while the reference is directed to the methyl ester. See page 324 including Tables 1 and 2.

However, one skilled in the art of formulation chemistry would have been motivated to seek the ester in the preparation of pharmaceutical medicaments. Such would have been obvious in the absence of evidence to the contrary because lower esters, such as methyl ester, are very soluble in water.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Phyllis G. Spivack whose telephone number is 571-272-0585. The Examiner can normally be reached from 10:30 to 7 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful after one business day, the Examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/597,695 Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

/Phyllis G. Spivack/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614

May 23, 2008